

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,300	03/25/2004	Takuya Kono	03180.0358	5498	
22852 75	90 09/22/2005		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			KIM, PETER B		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2851	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/808,300	KONO ET AL.	Max			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	(1/1-0			
	Peter B. Kim	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	/					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
_	-· action is non-final.					
·	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,8-10,13,15,16,18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,7,11,12,14,17 and 19</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		(4) (5)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	pina,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🖾 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>32004</u> .	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Regarding claim 20, a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, and it contains no physical structure that is patentable.

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: "coefficientss" seems to be a typo. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirayanagi (2002/0192598).

Hirayanagi discloses an exposure method and an exposure system (Fig. 2) comprising a displacement correction apparatus, a curvature information storage unit (abstract and para 0023), a displacement information calculation (para 0024) and a correction information calculation unit

to correct a projection lens of the exposure apparatus based on the displacement (para 0009, 0026-0029).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 6, 8-10, 13, 15, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagiwara et al. (Hagiwara) (2004/0100624) in view of Yasuda et al. (Yasuda) (2003/0016338).

Hagiwara discloses an exposure method and system (Fig. 1) comprising a displacement correction apparatus, a displacement information calculation unit and a correction information calculation unit to correct a projection lens of the exposure apparatus based on the displacement (para 0179). However, Hagiwara does not disclose a storage unit to store curvature information of a reticle. Yasuda discloses in para 0032, a storage unit to store reticle curvature. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the storage unit to store reticle information to the invention of Hagiwara in order to provide more effective displacement correction.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 5, 7, 11, 12, 14, 17, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 4, 11 and 17, none of the prior art of record teaches or discloses an insertion module to insert coefficients to approximate polynomial of the reticle.

Regarding claims 5, and 12, none of the prior art of record teaches or discloses an insertion module to insert coefficients to correct a projection lens based on the displacement.

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 19, none of the prior art of record teaches or discloses a height calculation module to calculate a third height, subtracting a second height, a second insertion module.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter B. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,300 Page 5

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Peter B. Kim **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2851

September 17, 2005